John Morabito FCC 2000 L Street, NW, Room 812 Washington, DC 20554 Kimberly Parker FCC 2100 M Street, NW, Room 8609 Washington, DC 20554

Barry Payne
Indiana Office of the Consumer Counsel
100 North Senate Avenue, Room N501
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2208

William Sharkey FCC 1919 M Street, NW, Room 534N Washington, DC 20554

Richard D. Smith FCC 2100 M Street, NW, Room 8605 Washington, DC 20554 Brad Wimmer FCC 1919 M Street, NW, Room 518 Washington, DC 20554

Lori Wright FCC 2100 M Street, NW, Room 8603 Washington, DC 20554 Joel Ader Bellcore 2101 L Street, NW, Room 600 Washington, DC 20037

Wilbur Thomas ITS 1919 M Street, NW, Room 246 Washington, DC 20554 Kenneth T. Burchett
Vice President
GVNW Inc.
P.O. Box 230399
Portland, OR 97281-0399

Eric Witte
Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Elizabeth A. Noel
Sandra Mattavous-Frye
People's Counsel District of Columbia
1133 15th Street, NW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005-2710

Maureen O. Helmer
New York State Department of Public
Service
3 Empire State Plaza
Albany, NY 12223-1350

Peter Arth, Jr.
Edward W. O'Neill
Mary Mack Adu
People of the State of CA/CA PUC
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Roger Hamilton Ron Eachus Joan H. Smith Oregon Public Utility Commission 550 Capitol Street, NE Salem, OR 97310-1380

John G. Strand
John C. Shea
David A. Svanda
Michigan Public Service Commission
6545 Mercantile Way
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909-7721

Steve Ellenbecker
Doug Doughty
Kristin H. Lee
Wyoming Public Service Commission
700 West 21st Street
Cheyenne, WY 82002

Suzi Ray McClellan
Laurie Pappas
Vicki Oswalt
Texas Office of Public Utility Counsel
7800 Shoal Creek Blvd.
Suite 290-E
Austin, TX 78757

David W. McGann Illinois Commerce Commission 160 North LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60601 Joel B. Shifman
Maine Public Utilities Commission
242 State Street
State House Station No. 18
Augusta, ME 04333-0018

Karen Finstad Hammel Montana Public Service Commission 1701 Prospect Avenue PO Box 202601 Helena, MT 59620

The Honorable Rod Johnson Chairman Nebraska Public Service Commission 300 The Atrium, 1200 N Street PO Box 94927 Lincoln, NE 68509-4927 Kathryn M. Bailey New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission 8 Old Suncook Road Concord, NH 03301-7319

David Kaufman
New Mexico State Corporation Comm.
PO Box 1269
Santa Fe, NM 87504-1269

David L. Stott Utah PUC 160 East 300 South PO Box 45585 Salt Lake City, UT 84145

James Volz
Peter Bluhm
Vermont Department of Public Service
Drawer 20
Montpelier, VT 05620-2601

Steven Hamula
Public Service Commission of W. Virginia
201 Brooks Street
PO Box 812
Charleston, WV 25323

Robert F. Manifold
National Assoc. of State Utility Consumer
Advocates
900 4th Avenue, Suite 2000
Seattle, WA 98164

Mark J. Golden
Robert R. Cohen
Personal Communications Industry Assoc.
500 Montgomery Street, Suite 700
Alexandria, VA 22314-1561

Thomas E. Taylor
Jack B. Harrison
Frost & Jacobs
2500 PNC Center
201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Attorneys for Cincinnati Bell

Anne U. MacClintock SNET 227 Church Street New Haven, CT 06510

Michael J. Nowick
Minnesota Telephone Association
1650 World Trade Center
30 East 7th Street
St. Paul, MN 55101-4901

Bruce Hagen
Susan E. Wafald
Leo M. Reinbold
North Dakota PSC
State Capitol — 600 E. Boulevard
Bismark, SD 58505-0480

Richard McKenna, HQE03J36 GTE Service Corporation PO Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015-2092 Gail Polivy GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, NW, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Emily M. Williams
Richard J. Metzger
Association for Local Telecommunications Services
1200 19th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036

Michael J. Shortley, III
180 South Clinton Avenue
Rochester, NY 14646
Attorney for Frontier Corporation

R. Glenn Rhyne South Carolina PSC 111 Doctors Circle Columbia, SC 29203 Randall Cape
Lucille Mates
Nancy Woolf
Pacific Telesis
140 New Montgomery Street
Room 1523
San Francisco, CA 94105

Margaret Garber
Pacific Telesis
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

BB Knowles Georgia PSC 244 Washington Street, SW Atlanta, GA 30334

Linda Kent USTA 1401 H Street, NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005-2164 William H. Smith, Jr.
Iowa Utilities Board
Lucas State Office Blgd.
Des Moines, IA 50319

Robert S. Tongren
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
77 South High Street, 15th Floor
Columbus, OH 43266-0550

Cheryl A. Tritt
James A. Casey
Morrison & Foerster, LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 5500
Washington, DC 20006

Chris Frentrup
MCI Communications Corporation
1801 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20006

Paul Rodgers
Charles Gray
NARUC
1201 Constitution Avenue
Suite 1102
Washington, DC 20044

Andrew D. Lipman
Mark Sievers
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered
3000 K Street, NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007
Attorneys for MFS Communications Co.

Richard A. Askoff NECA 100 South Jefferson Road Whippany, NJ 07981 Joseph DiBella
NYNEX
1300 I Street, NW, Suite 400 West
Washington, DC 20005

M. Robert Sutherland Richard M. Sbaratta Rebecca M. Lough BellSouth Corporation 1155 Peachtree Street, NE Suite 1700 Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

Kathryn Marie Krause US West, Inc. 1020 19th Street, NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

Edward C. Addison Virginia State Corporation Commission 1300 East Main Street, 9th Floor PO Box 1197 Richmond, VA 23218

Charles C. Hunter
Hunter & Mow, PC
1620 I Street, NW
Suite 701
Washington, DC 20006
Attorneys for Telecommunications
Resellers Association

Robert M. Lynch
Durward Dupre
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company
One Bell Center
Suite 3524
St. Louis, MO 63101

Michael J. Karson Ameritech 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Room 4H88 Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

J. Manning Lee
Teleport Communications Group
2 Teleport Drive, Suite 300
Staten Island, NY 10311

Mary E. Newmeyer Alabama Public Service Commission PO Box 991 Montgomery, AL 36101

The Honorable William J. Janklow Governor State of South Dakota State Capital 500 East Capitol Pierre, SD 57501-5070 Lawrence C. St.Branc
Gayle T. Kellner
Louisiana PSC
PO Box 91154
Baton Rouge, LA 70821-9154

Leonard J. Kennedy
Todd Gray
Kenneth Salomon
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, NW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036-6802
Attorneys for AACC/ACCT; Vanguard
Cellular,

Richard M. Tettelbaum Citizens Utilities Company 1400 16th Street, NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

Catherine R. Sloan
Richard L. Fruchterman
Richard S. Whitt
Worldcom, Inc. d/b/a LDDS WorldCom
1120 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20036

Mark C. rosenblum
Peter H. Jacoby
Judy Sello
AT&T Corporation
295 North Maple Avenue
Room 3244J1
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Howard J. Symons
Jennifer A. Purvis
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky & Popeo
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20004

Margot Smiley Humphrey
Koteen & Naftalin
1150 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20036
Attorneys for Century Telephone and TDS
Rural Telephone Coalition

Michael S. Fox John Staurulakis, Inc. 6315 Seabrook Road Seabrook, MD 20706

Jere W. Glover
David W. Zesiger
US Small Business Administration
409 Third Street, SW
Suite 7800
Washington, DC 20416

James S. Blaszak
Kevin S. DiLallo
Levine, Blaszak, Block & Boothby
1300 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20036-1703
Attorneys for ALTS

David R. Poe
Yvonne M. Coviello
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Green & MacRae
1875 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20009
Attorneys for Time Warner

Lawrence W. Katz Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies 1320 North Court House Road 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201

Genevieve Morelli CompTel 1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 220 Washington, DC 20036 Brad Mutschelknaus
Steven A. Augustino
Kelley Drye & Warren
1200 19th Street, NW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20036
Attorneys for CompTel; LCI International

Philip L. Verveer
Sue D. Blumenfeld
Thomas Jones
Willkie Farr & Gallagher
3 Lafayette Centre
1155 21st Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036
Attorneys for Tele-Communications, Inc.;
CTIA

Daniel L. Brenner
Neal M. Goldberg
David L. Nicoll
National Cable Television Association
1724 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036

David A. Beckett Colorado PUC 1580 Logan Street Office Level 2 Denver, CO 80203 Adrienne G. Southgate Rhode Island PUC 100 Orange Street Providence, RI 02903

Brian R. Moir
Moir & Hardman
2000 L Street, NW
Suite 512
Washington, DC 20036-4907
Attorneys for International Communications
Assoc.

Michael F. Altschul Randall S. Coleman Cellular Telecommunications Industry Assoc. 1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20036 Gary M. Epstein
Teresa D. Baer
Latham & Watkins
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 1300
Washington, DC 20004
Attorneys for Pacific Telecom, Inc.

The Honorable Cheryl L. Parrino Chairman Wisconsin PSC 610 North Whitney Way PO Box 7854 Madison, WI 53707-7854 Timothy R. Graham Robert M. Berger Joseph M. Sandri Winstar Communications, Inc. 1146 19th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Melinda L. Mills, hereby certify that I have on this 24th day of February, 1997, served via U.S. First Class Mail, postage prepaid, or Hand Delivery, a copy of the foregoing "Letter of Sprint Corporation on Staff Analysis of Forward-Looking Economic Cost Proxy Models," in the Matter of Cost Models in Universal Service Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket No. 96-45, filed this date with the Acting Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, to the persons on the attached service list.

Melinda L. Mills

Regina Keeney*
Chief, Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 500
Washington, DC 20554

Wilbur Thomas*
ITS
1919 M Street, NW, Room 246
Washington, DC 20554

Marlin D. Ard
Sarah R. Thomas
Pacific Telesis
140 New Montgomery St.
Room 1522A
San Francisco, CA 94105

David N. Porter WorldCom, Inc' 3000 K Street, NW Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

Strategic Policy Research, Inc. 7500 Old Georgetown Road Suite 810 Bethesda, MD 20814 Jim Schlichting*
Chief, Tariff Division
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 518
Washington, DC 20554

Joel Ader*
Bellcore
2101 L Street, NW, 6th Floor
Washington, DC 20037

Margaret E. Garber Pacific Telesis 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, DC 20004

Andrew D. Lipman Mark Sievers Swidler & Berlin 3000 K Street, NW Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

Joseph DiBella
NYNEX
1300 I Street, NW
Suite 400 West
Washington, DC 20005

Lawrence W. Katz Bell Atlantic 1320 North Court House Road 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201

M. Robert Sutherland Richard M. Sbaratta BellSouth Corporation 1155 Peachtree Street, NE Suite 1700 Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

Chris Frentrup MCI Telecommunications Corporation 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036

Mary McDermott USTA 1401 H Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005

Gary M. Epstein
Teresa D. Baer
Michael S. Wroblewski
Latham & Watkins
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Larry A. Peck
Michael S. Pabian
Ameritech
2000 West Ameritech Center Drive
Room 4H86
Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

Robert B. McKenna US West, Inc. 1020 19th Street, NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

Richard N. Clarke AT&T 295 North Maple Avenue Room 5462C2 Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Donn T. Wonnell Pacific Telecom, Inc. 805 Braodway Vancouver, WA 98660

Robert M. Lynch Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. One Bell Center Suite 3524 St. Louis, MO 63101 Richard McKenna GTE Service Corp. P.O. Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015-2092 Gail L. Polivy GTE Service Corp. 1850 M Street, NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

* Indicates Hand Delivery

RECEIVED

FEB 2 4 199/

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

	ATTONO COMPAISSION	
i i	DE CENTRAL	

In the Matter of)	
The Use of Computer Models for Estimating Forward-Looking Economic Costs —)))	CCB/CPD Docket No. 97-2
A Staff Analysis)	

REPLY COMMENTS OF SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

Southwestern Bell Telephone Company ("SWBT") files these Reply Comments to these limited comments filed in response to the Commission Staff's analysis of various cost proxy models.¹

THE PROPOSED COST PROXY MODELS CANNOT BE SUFFICIENTLY DEVELOPED, ANALYZED, AND EVALUATED IN THE TIME PERMITTED

As this cost proxy model process clearly reveals, the participants are being required to shoot at a moving target without sufficient time to aim (and sometimes without even being allowed to see the target). The first of the cost proxy models was made available to the public not even a year ago and, when first released, contained many undocumented assumptions. Since then and bit by bit, more information about the models has been made publicly available. At the same time, however, new models were being introduced and earlier models withdrawn or revised. The Benchmark Cost Model ("BCM") came, only to be replaced by BCM2, which has now been merged with the Cost Proxy Model ("CPM") into the Benchmark Cost Proxy Model ("BCPM"). When the Hatfield Model was introduced, it was Version 2.2.1; now the Hatfield Model has gone through Version 2.2.2 and is at Version 3 ("HM3"). Given continued deficiencies, one expects

¹ Public Notice, DA 97-56, released January 9, 1997.

that further changes cannot be far behind.

results of models that remain extremely complex and involve internal calculations that are often far from clear. Many of the deadlines set for those analyses have been unrealistic and the time permitted insufficient. In this proceeding, HM3 was made available only for a few States on February 6, 1997. A complete version of BCPM was made available on February 14th. Due to those release dates, the Common Carrier Bureau granted two extensions, both much needed and appreciated by SWBT. However, with comments due on February 18th and these Reply Comments due six days thereafter, those extensions have not been sufficient to allow for a meaningful review of either the proposed models, their shifting assumptions and structures, and their results, or the comments filed last week. The changes made from the earlier versions were not minor corrections. As AT&T and MCI of HM3 admit, the current version of their HM3 has undergone extensive changes from Hatfield Model 2.2.2.2 A number of other parties have voiced the same concern over the haste with which this proceeding is being conducted.3

Such a hasty process cannot be expected to help the Commission fulfill its statutory and procedural obligations imposed by the Act and otherwise. Parties must be afforded a meaningful opportunity to participate and be heard. Establishing due dates that do not allow for sufficient time to carefully analyze the proposed cost proxy models does not allow for such an opportunity

² AT&T/MCI, pp. 5-8. A party's comments are cited by referring to that party.

³ Bell Atlantic/NYNEX, pp. 2, 3; BellSouth Corporation/BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth"), p. 2; GTE Service Corporation, p. 4; Pacific Bell, p. 12; Rural Telephone Coalition ("RTC"), pp. 3, 4; Sprint Corporation, p. 21; SWBT, p. 15; United States Telephone Association ("USTA"), p. 2; U S WEST, Inc., pp. 2, 3; and WorldCom, pp. 28, 37.

and offends notions of fundamental fairness. Moreover, this proceeding was established to address concerns over the proposed models currently under consideration by the Commission and to help refine them. If insufficient time is permitted for a careful analysis of the models (especially now that new versions have been released), SWBT is at a loss to understand how any interested party can help in fulfilling that purpose.

SWBT does not mean to imply that revisions and new models are a bad thing. To the contrary, the first models were so lacking in any connection to the real world that revisions and replacements were absolutely required. However, the chronology of events clearly indicates that each of the models are a "work in progress" that can be expected to so remain for the foreseeable future. As illustrated in the participants' comments, including those of some model proponents, the models require additional refinement and adaptation before they can yet be adopted. As WorldCom notes, "the cost estimates produced by a cost proxy model are not necessarily the total costs that an individual carrier — incumbent or new entrant — actually experiences given its network, demand and services." A tremendous amount of work and development remains before an appropriate and reliable model will be ready for consideration. The time constraints imposed by the Commission or by various statutes thus effectively preclude the adoption or validation of the "final" version of any cost proxy model.

In contrast, the existing cost processes used by the Commission have been constructed

⁴ AT&T/MCI, pp. 13, 14; Bell Atlantic/NYNEX, pp. 11, 12, 16; BellSouth, p. 2; GTE, p. 5; Pacific Bell, p. 4; Pacific Telecom, Inc., p. 2; Public Utility Commission of Texas ("TxPUC"), p. 9; RTC, p. 13; Strategic Policy Research, Inc. ("SPR"), p. 5; Sprint, p. 13; SWBT, p. 13; USTA, p. 12; USWEST, p. 8; and WorldCom, pp. 37, 38.

⁵ WorldCom, p. 5.

and adapted over many years to address multiple purposes and situations.⁶ Accordingly, the Commission should continue to use, and as necessary adapt, its current cost processes for use in the "Competition Trilogy." Not until an appropriate, accurate, and valid model reflecting actual network configurations and designs and premised on the actual costs of an operational network is developed should the Commission seek to adopt it.

THERE IS A GENERAL CONSENSUS THAT ANY MODEL MUST BE VALIDATED BEFORE IT CAN BE ADOPTED

In the comments reviewed by SWBT, a clear consensus emerged that a "validation" process should be adopted. Validating any model is exactly what SWBT and others have been asking for as cost proxy models have been discussed and considered. As the Staff recognizes, validation is important, and will help eliminate unreasonable assumptions and biases. The absence of some means to eliminate models from consideration because of apparent invalidity of results further demonstrates the inappropriateness of adopting any cost proxy model. An independent, verifiable standard for validation simply must first be adopted; otherwise the Commission has no basis for concluding that any model is reasonable.

There is, however, no consensus on what that validation process should be or how any of the proposed processes should be conducted. In light of the comments, there is also no reason to believe that the selection and parameters of a validation process will be any less contested or

⁶ SWBT, p. 6.

⁷ AT&T/MCI, p. 10; Bell Atlantic/NYNEX, pp. 2, 3; BellSouth, p. 2; GTE, p. 23; Pacific Bell, p. 10; Pacific Telecom, p. 3; RTC, p. 6; TxPUC, p. 4; SPR, p. 2; Sprint, pp. 6, 7; SWBT, pp. 11, 12; USTA, p. 19; U S WEST, p. 11; and WorldCom, pp. 3, 4.

No test or process can prove the validity of any model. Rather, such mechanisms are employed to demonstrate the degree to which the model or process being assessed is not invalid.

controversial than with the cost proxy models themselves. If the Commission continues to look for a valid proxy model, it must begin now to work to develop a means to eliminate invalid models from consideration. Concurrently, the Commission should continue to seek to develop a cost proxy model in which the results are not driven to any desired outcome other than to produce a reliable replication of actual costs. In the interim, in order to satisfy its statutory obligations, the Commission must continue to use its existing cost processes as the only appropriate and valid measure of costs.

THE NEW MODELS AND NEWER VERSIONS OF EXISTING MODELS DO NOT ELIMINATE THE PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED DEFICIENCIES

Although time has permitted only limited review of the new cost proxy proposals (including the newly proposed and the newly revised), SWBT believes that many of the deficiencies identified in earlier versions and models have yet to be remedied. For example, SWBT raised concerns with regard to the specification of demand levels as assumed by the proposed models. HM3 continues to rely on stacked assumptions to derive demand data. Similarly, BCPM relies on assumptions regarding demand patterns and line data even though admitting that more accurate details are necessary, especially for the determination of universal service support. Sprint argues that the incumbent local exchange carriers possess the most

⁹ In fact, AT&T/MCI appears to suggest that if their preferred validation process (engineering studies) does not validate HM3, then something must be wrong with reality. AT&T/MCI, pp. 10, 11.

¹⁰ SWBT, pp. 19, 20.

¹¹ AT&T/MCI, pp. 14, 15.

¹² Sprint, pp. 13-15; U S WEST, p. 19.

accurate data, 13 while AT&T and MCI can only assert that their methods lead only to a "more accurate determination" of demand than that produced by their earlier model. 14

SWBT has provided a viable means to accurately determine the average fill levels for facilities in the determination of costs. ¹⁵ Yet the sponsors of the Hatfield Model propose that no such average (i.e., accounting for variation over time) is necessary. Rather, their model assumes a constant, most efficient fill over the life of the facility. ¹⁶ Such an assumption is no more sound or reasonable than assuming the fill is that which is realized at the immediate moment the facility is placed — zero. ¹⁷

SWBT suspects that those comments are only a start. Given that AT&T/MCI contend that "any cost model should minimize cost" rather than attempt to accurately estimate the actual cost characteristics (either average cost or incremental cost) of a currently operating firm, ¹⁸ U S WEST prophetically described the inherent deficiency of all of the currently proposed models, but especially the Hatfield Model. ¹⁹ Inasmuch as AT&T/MCI are intent on using their definition of costs to determine the prices that they will pay, HM3 can be expected to contain and carry over

¹³ Sprint, p. 14.

¹⁴ AT&T/MCI, p. 15.

¹⁵ SWBT, p. 21 and Attachment 4.

¹⁶ AT&T/MCI, pp. 15, 16.

One could argue the facility would only be placed if there was at least one additional unit of "fill." In that instance, the appropriate fill would be equal to one unit, which is no more reasonable than that proposed by AT&T/MCI.

¹⁸ AT&T/MCI, p. 13.

¹⁹ U S WEST, p. 3 (the Hatfield Model is aimed at "cost reduction, rather than cost determination").

many of the HM2.2.2 deficiencies that result in unrealistically low costs. SWBT continues to analyze the comments and the cost proxy models as now proposed, and intends on submitting expartes at the conclusion of its review.

Respectfully submitted,

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

Robert M Lynch

Durward D. Dupre

Michael J. Zpevak

Darryl W. Howard

Attorneys for

Southwestern Bell Telephone Company

One Bell Center, Suite 3520 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 235-2507

February 24, 1997

INTERNATIONAL TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE 2100 M STREET NW ROOM 140 WASHINGTON DC 20037 DAVID N PORTER
VP GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS
WORLDCOM INC
3000 K STREET NW SUITE 300
WASHINGTON DC 20007

ANDREW D LIPMAN
MARK SIEVERS
SWIDLER & BERLIN CHARTERED
ATTORNEYS FOR WORLDCOM INC
3000 K STREET NW SUITE 300
WASHINGTON DC 20007

MARLIN D ARD
SARAH R THOMAS
ATTORNEYS FOR PACIFIC BELL
140 NEW MONTGOMERY STREET
ROOM 1522A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105

MARGARET E GARBER 1275 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20004 STRATEGIC POLICY RESEARCH INC 7500 OLD GEORGETOWN ROAD SUITE 810 BETHESDA MARYLAND 20814

CHRIS FRENTRUP
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP
1801 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20036

RICHARD N CLARKE AT&T 295 N. MAPLE AVENUE ROOM 5462C2 BASKING RIDGE NJ 07920

JOSEPH DIBELLA
ATTORNEY FOR THE NYNEX TELEPHONE
COMPANIES
1300 I STREET NW
SUITE 400 WEST
WASHINGTON DC 20005

LAWRENCE W KATZ
ATTORNEY FOR THE BELL ATLANTIC
TELEPHONE COMPANIES
1320 NORTH COURT HOUSE ROAD, 8TH FLOOR
ARLINGTON VA. 22201

M ROBERT SUTHERLAND
RICHARD M SBARATTA
ATTORNEY FOR BELLSOUTH CORPORATION
AND
BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC
1155 PEACHTREE STREET NE
SUITE 1700
ATLANTA GA 30309-3619

ROBERT B MCKENNA
ATTORNEY FOR US WEST INC
1020 19TH STREET NW
SUITE 700
WASHINGTON DC 20036

MARY MCDERMOTT
LINDA KENT
KEITH TOWNSEND
HANCE HANEY
USTA
1401 H STREET NW SUITE 600
WASHINGTON DC 20005

DONN T WONNELL
VP REGULATORY AFFAIRS
PACIFIC TELECOM INC
805 BROADWAY
VANCOUVER WASHINGTON 98660

GARY M EPSTEIN
TERESA D BAER
MICHAEL S WROBLEWSKI
LATHAM & WATKINS
1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20004

JAY C KEITHLEY
ATTORNEY FOR SPRINT CORPORATION
1850 M STREET NW SUITE 100
WASHINGTON DC 20036-5807

JOSEPH P COWIN
ATTORNEY FOR SPRINT CORPORATION
PO BOX 11315
KANSAS CITY MO 64112

RICHARD MCKENNA HQE03J36 GTE SERVICE CORPORATION PO BOX 152092 IRVING TEXAS 75015-2092

GAIL L POLIVY
ATTORNEY FOR GTE SERVICE CORPORATION
PO BOX 152092
IRVING TEXAS 75015-2092

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS 1701 N CONGRESS AVENUE PO BOX 13326 AUSTIN TEXAS 78711-3326 NRTA
MARGOT SMILEY HUMPHREY
KOTEEN & NAFTALIN LLP
1150 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW
SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON DC 20036

NTCA:
DAVID COSSON
PAMELA SOWAR FUSTING
2626 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20037

OPASTCO LISA M ZAINA 21 DUPONT CIRCLE NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON DC 20036

RECEIVED

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
The Use of Computer Models for)	CCB/CPD Docket No. 97-2
Estimating Forward-Looking Economic)	
Costs)	

REPLY COMMENTS OF U S WEST, INC.

Robert B. McKenna Kathryn Ford Suite 700 1020 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 (303) 672-2861

Attorneys for

US WEST, INC.

Of Counsel, Dan L. Poole

February 24, 1997

TABLE OF CONTENTS

÷	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. HATFIELD 3.0 IS FATALLY FLAWED	5
III. U S WEST OBSERVATIONS ON HATFIELD 3.0	6
A. Sharing	8
B. Placement Costs	10
C. Aerial Cable	11
IV. ERRORS AND OMISSIONS	11
A. General	11
B. Expense Calculation	12
C. Line Count	15